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Minnesota’s Health Care System Prior to the Affordable Care Act
Minnesota’s Health Care System Before the Affordable Care Act

- Among the lowest uninsurance rates in the country — 8% in 2008

- Well functioning, but costly High-Risk Pool
  - Covered individuals without access to employer-sponsored insurance, denied coverage in the nongroup market due to a pre-existing condition

- Consistently high health care quality ranking

- Rating restrictions which limited premium variation by health status, age, etc.

- Consistent annual premium increases in the nongroup market over the last decade that ranged from 1.0% in 2005 to 11.2% in 2008

- Consistent growth in health care spending over the last decade that ranged from 1.6% in 2010 to 7.6% in 2006

- Generous eligibility thresholds for Medical Assistance (Minnesota’s Medicaid program)

- MinnesotaCare, a subsidized insurance program for low-income Minnesotans who did not qualify for Medical Assistance
Drivers and Impacts of the Affordable Care Act
What Drove Federal Health Reform?
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Could be better!
ACA Focus on Expanding Coverage

- Marketplaces as a place to shop for coverage and sign up for coverage
- Financial assistance for middle-income families
- Expanded access to Medicaid for lower-income families
- Changed the way insurance companies must operate (e.g., guaranteed issue, prohibited lifetime limits)
- Employer provisions — incentives and penalties
- Required individuals to have health insurance with minimum essential benefits
Uninsurance Rate Over Time, 2008–2015

Source: SHADAC Analysis of the ACS.
ACA Impacts and Policy Action in Minnesota
Source of Health Insurance Coverage, 2015

- Employer: 56.3%
- Medicare: 16.7%
- Medical Assistance/MNsure: 17.4%
- Uninsured: 4.3%
- Nongroup: 5.4%
- ~300,000 Minnesotans
- ~70,000 MNsure

Source: Adapted from "Minnesota's Health Care Ecosystem: An Overview." Presentation to the Committee on Health Care Consumer Access and Affordability by Stefan Gildemeister. July 12, 2017

Note: There was a 1.3% population growth over this time period.
Source: Adapted from “Minnesota’s Health Care Ecosystem: An Overview.” Presentation to the Committee on Health Care Consumer Access and Affordability by Stefan Gildemeister. July 12, 2017
ACA Impact in Minnesota

- Reduction in uninsured rate
- Increased enrollment in the nongroup market, with many getting subsidies and cost sharing
- Increased enrollment in Medical Assistance
- Individuals with employer coverage no longer had lifetime limits
- Required minimum essential benefits = more comprehensive coverage for many, but less choice
- Costly MNsure had early glitches
- Closure of Minnesota’s High-Risk Pool
  - Discontinuation of broad base of funding for Minnesotans with pre-existing conditions
- Large insurers have exited the nongroup market
- Premium increases in the nongroup market (average of 50% in 2017)
- Nongroup enrollees without subsidies pay a lot
Health Care Spending Continues to Rise

**Actual Spending**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual Spending (Billions of dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$39.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$43.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Projected Spending**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Projected Spending (Billions of dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$55.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$75.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minnesota Policy Efforts to Stabilize the Nongroup Market

• After the exit of major insurers, the Insurance Commissioner negotiated enrollment caps with the remaining insurers to incent them to stay in the nongroup market

• Health Insurance Premium Relief in 2017
  • Health plan rebates designed to reduce quoted premiums by 25%
  • Financed by $312 million from the state budget reserve

• State-funded reinsurance in nongroup market in 2018–2019
  • Minnesota’s Premium Security Plan funds 80% of health plan claims between $50,000 and $250,000
  • Projected to reduce 2018 premiums by 20%
  • Financed by Health Care Access fund, general fund, and federal contribution
  • Contingent upon approval of state’s federal 1332 waiver

• Submission of 1332 federal waiver to secure federal funding for reinsurance and continued federal funding for MinnesotaCare

• All of the above are short-term fixes without ongoing funding
Federal Action Under President Trump
Early Indications

- Trump campaigned on repealing and replacing ACA
- Early on, there were at least 7 GOP plans for replacing the ACA
- GOP plans had mixed and sometimes conflicting provisions
  - Complete repeal
  - Roll back Medicaid expansion over time
  - Cap federal spending on Medicaid (e.g., fixed per capita cap or block grant)
  - Allow insurers to provide lower-cost, stripped-down insurance plans
  - Reduce or eliminate subsidies in the nongroup market
  - “Universal Access” vs “Universal Coverage”
  - Eliminate the individual mandate
  - Make the uninsured wait 6 months to get coverage
  - Soften regulations on insurers (e.g., reimpose lifetime limits)
  - Give states flexibility related to mandated coverage (e.g., contraceptives) and insurance regulation
Federal Action To Date

• Bills to repeal/replace the Affordable Care Act have all have failed to pass the Senate

• Focus in Congress seems to have shifted to other policy priorities

• The Administration can do a lot without legislation
  • Non-enforcement of coverage mandate
  • Reduce funding for outreach and enrollment into marketplace
  • Delay or fail to approve state flexibility under 1332 waivers
  • Issue new rules/Executive orders (e.g., draft rule to roll back contraception requirement, elimination of cost sharing reduction payments)

• The administration approved Minnesota’s 1332 waiver
  • Approval for $139 million in reinsurance funding
  • Discontinuation of funding for MinnesotaCare

• Uncertainty regarding the nongroup market, and subsidies in these markets may be impacting insurers' rate-setting and willingness to offer plans in this market
“It says our health insurance is being replaced by a series of tweets calling us losers.”
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